

Compassion Resilience: A Perspective on War, Peace, and Community in the Arctic

Charlene Miles

Introduction

The Arctic, long seen as a model of international cooperation, continues to experience mounting geopolitical tensions and militarization that threaten the region's social fabric, woven from Indigenous knowledge, rural and urban realities, and the needs of vulnerable populations. Additionally, climate change profoundly shapes the Arctic, acting as both a security concern and a driver of ongoing challenges for communities. Compassion resilience, rooted in empathy and community strength, is not just a theoretical concept but a living necessity for ensuring the Arctic remains a zone of peace, justice, and sustainability. Importantly, the Arctic is not currently an active conflict zone, but rather a region at risk due to converging pressures, making prevention critical.

The Human Dimension: Why Compassion Resilience Matters

Discussions about Arctic security are often dominated by state interests, sidelining the voices and well-being of those who live there. Indigenous Peoples such as the Inuit and Sámi have historically suffered from decisions made far from their homelands, leading to environmental degradation and cultural loss (Simon, 2019; Cameron, 2012). Past military exercises and advanced technologies have deepened historical traumas and disrupted traditional ways of life (Konyshev & Sergunin, 2016). As a result, vulnerable groups within these Indigenous and Local Arctic communities are most affected.

Specifically, young people who are exposed to conflict and displacement face heightened risks of anxiety, depression, and PTSD. This is especially true for adolescents with disabilities, who experience even greater psychological distress when social networks and family stability are disrupted (Human Rights Watch, 2024; Nature, 2024; ICRC, 2024). Older adults, often depend on intergenerational support, however this support is undermined by migration, economic instability,

and caregiving burdens during crises (Forbes, 2019). Similarly, people with disabilities in conflict zones are at greater risk of neglect, trauma, and exclusion from emergency services, compounding their vulnerability (World Bank, 2024; PMC, 2013). These examples draw on documented evidence and Indigenous testimony, illustrating the real impacts of environmental and social stressors on Arctic populations.

Compassion resilience can address these human costs by fostering solidarity, supporting cultural continuity, and building adaptive capacity (Kirmayer et al., 2011). In moments of adversity, it becomes moral imperative as well as a strategic necessity that is often lost in times of conflict. This reflective grounding helps us avoid superficial generalizations and recognize diverse, evidence-based community experiences.

Compassion Resilience in Practice

Military activities in the Arctic generate deep anxiety, especially for Indigenous communities whose identities and livelihoods are intimately tied to the land (Forbes, 2019). To mitigate these impacts, community-led mental health initiatives that blend traditional knowledge with modern counseling are vital. For example, the Inuit-led Qaujigiartiit Health Research Centre integrates cultural practices into trauma care, helping communities heal collectively (ITK, 2021). Broader approaches have also made a difference as school-based programs have reduced PTSD symptoms among youth by up to 40% through peer support and trauma-informed curricula (Nature, 2024). Intergenerational storytelling projects led by Sámi elders preserve cultural knowledge and foster emotional resilience among youth (Einarsson et al., 2004) and accessible crisis hotlines and community care networks for people with disabilities have reduced isolation and suicide risks (PMC, 2013).

Dialogue and Leadership

Empathy-driven dialogue is vital in a region that spans eight Arctic states. Local leadership and grassroots diplomacy are powerful tools for peace. The Arctic Mayors' Forum, for instance, brings together municipal leaders to bridge geopolitical divides through shared priorities like infrastructure and cultural preservation (Arctic Council, 2023). Likewise, the Pikialasorsuaq Commission has shown how Inuit-led discussions can manage shared resources and build trust across borders (ICC, 2017). Continuous, inclusive dialogue ensures that policies are grounded realities, not top-down assumptions.

Indigenous knowledge systems, which emphasize relationality and stewardship, offer ethical alternatives to militarized security paradigms (Whyte, 2018). A case in point is the Sámi Parliament's involvement in co-managing Nordic defense projects is a model for aligning security strategies with community well-being (Koivurova & Heinämäki, 2020). Centering Indigenous leadership is both just and essential for building resilience. Such approaches counter the stereotypes that Indigenous communities are passive recipients; rather, they are active architects of resilience and security.

Community Adaptation

At the same time, compassion resilience enables communities to address the dual threats of climate change and militarization. The Alaska Native Science Commission, for example, combines traditional ecological knowledge with conflict resolution training to build adaptive capacity (ANSC, 2022). This integration of compassion and science is vital for community survival. The intersection

of environmental adaptation and security concerns underscores why climate change must be central to Arctic security discourse.

The Arctic's Rural and Urban Realities

The Arctic's diversity is reflected not only in its landscapes but also in the ways people live. About two-thirds of the Arctic's nearly four million inhabitants now live in urban areas, with cities like Fairbanks, Yellowknife, Yakutsk, and Luleå serving as economic and cultural hubs. Yet, the story does not end in the cities; rural settlements remain vital, especially for Indigenous Peoples and those whose livelihoods depend on the land and sea.

Urbanization, however, brings both opportunities and challenges. Cities offer better access to healthcare, education, and employment, but also face housing shortages, infrastructure strain, and environmental contamination. Such challenges can be seen in Yakutsk's rapid population growth and deteriorating housing, while Yellowknife contends with land scarcity and contaminated sites. In contrast, rural communities are experiencing depopulation as residents move to cities, threatening the viability of small settlements and risking the loss of traditional knowledge and cultural practices.

It is a misconception to think of Indigenous Peoples as confined to rural areas. Many now live in Arctic cities where their cultural heritage and rights must be recognized in urban planning and governance. Urban Indigenous communities face unique challenges in maintaining cultural identity and access to traditional practices within rapidly changing environments. Recognizing this complexity is essential for informed policy.

Vulnerability comes in many forms in the Arctic, and the pressures differ between rural and urban areas. Urban residents may be more exposed to infrastructure disruptions and social fragmentation, especially as cities expand onto traditional indigenous lands or sensitive environments. Conversely, rural communities face isolation, limited access to services, and the ongoing risk of being overlooked in regional planning and emergency response. Whereas depopulation leaves the remaining residents often those who are vulnerable at greater risk during crises. This is an opportunity where policy can address these place based realities with tailored, inclusive approaches.

State Strategies: Preserving the Arctic as a Zone of Peace

To prevent the Arctic from becoming an arena for global power struggles, states must institutionalize compassion resilience at every level. Several specific policy measures stand out. First, formalizing Peace Commitments: Revisiting agreements like the 2008 Ilulissat Declaration, which emphasized cooperation over territorial disputes, could modernize commitments to exclude offensive military activities. Regional arms control agreements would further reduce escalation risks (Kingdom of Denmark, 2008; UNODA, 2021).

Second, integrating Human Security: Expanding security to include human well-being, as outlined in the 1994 UNDP Human Development Report, aligns with Canada's Arctic and Northern Policy Framework, which prioritizes Indigenous partnerships in defense planning.

Third, ensuring Inclusive Governance: Co-governance models, such as the Inuit-Crown Partnership Committee, show how power-sharing can produce equitable policies. Strengthening the Arctic Council's mandate to address security issues, while retaining Indigenous Permanent

Participant status, would further institutionalize compassion resilience (Crown-Indigenous Relations, 2023; Exner-Pirot, 2020).

Each of these approaches is supported by evidence and reflects the evolving nature of Arctic governance and security challenges. Targeted measures for vulnerable groups remain critical. As a demonstration, youth benefit from funded trauma-informed education and vocational training to mitigate recruitment by armed groups (ICRC, 2019); Older adults need emergency evacuation protocols that prioritize mobility-impaired individuals (ZOiS, 2024); and people with disabilities require mandated accessibility in conflict preparedness plans, including sign-language interpreters in crisis communications (World Bank, 2024). An analytical focus on differentiated impact and tailored solutions is necessary to move the narrative beyond generalization and toward lasting security for all.

Conclusion: Compassion Resilience as a Path Forward

In conclusion compassion resilience is not a utopian ideal but a pragmatic strategy for Arctic peace. By centering Indigenous knowledge, human security, and cooperative governance—with explicit protections for youth, the elderly, and people with disabilities—the Arctic can resist being reduced to a geopolitical chessboard. The region’s future depends on recognizing and supporting both its rural and urban communities, ensuring that all residents are included in efforts to build resilience and preserve the Arctic as a zone of peace, justice, and sustainability for all who call it home.

References

- Andreeva, S., Dodds, K., Douglas, N., Humrich, C., & Nawrath, T. (2024, March). New Arctic Realities — Between Conflicting Interests and Avenues for Cooperation (ZOiS Report 1/2024). Centre for East European and International Studies (ZOiS). https://www.zois-berlin.de/fileadmin/media/Dateien/3-Publikationen/ZOiS_Reports/2024/ZOiS_Report_1_2024.pdf
- Cameron, E. (2012). Securing Indigenous politics: A critique of the vulnerability and adaptation approach to the human dimensions of climate change in the Canadian Arctic. *Global Environmental Change*, 22(1), 103-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.11.004>
- Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada. (2023). Inuit-Crown Partnership Committee. <https://www.rcaanc-cirnac.gc.ca/eng/1503683005445/1537886544718>
- Einarsson, N., Larsen, J. N., Nilsson, A., & Young, O. R. (Eds.). (2004). Arctic Human Development Report. Stefansson Arctic Institute. <https://oaarchive.arctic-council.org/handle/11374/51>
- Exner-Pirot, H. (2020). Canada’s Arctic and Northern Policy Framework: A New Direction for Human Security? *Arctic Yearbook*, 2020. <https://arcticyearbook.com/arctic-yearbook/2020>
- Forbes, B. C. (2019). State, Indigenous, and Local Governance in the Arctic: Implications for Resilience. In *Arctic Resilience Report* (pp. 123-144). Stockholm Environment Institute. <https://www.sei.org/publications/arctic-resilience-report/>

- Human Rights Watch. (2024, February 24). Submission to the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights on Children with Disabilities in Armed Conflict. <https://www.hrw.org/news/2025/02/24/submission-un-high-commissioner-human-rights-children-armed-conflict>
- International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). (2019). Children associated with armed forces or armed groups. <https://www.icrc.org/sites/default/files/external/doc/en/assets/files/publications/icrc-002-0824.pdf>
- International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). (2024, August 1). At risk and overlooked: Children with disabilities and armed conflict. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 106(922), 1-22. <https://international-review.icrc.org/articles/at-risk-and-overlooked-children-with-disabilities-and-armed-conflict-922>
- ITK (Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami). (2021). Qaujigiartiit Health Research Centre. <https://www.itk.ca/qaujigiartiit-health-research-centre/>
- Kirmayer, L. J., Dandeneau, S., Marshall, E., Phillips, M. K., & Williamson, K. J. (2011). Rethinking resilience from Indigenous perspectives. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 56(2), 84-91. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/070674371105600203>
- Kingdom of Denmark. (2008). The Ilulissat Declaration. <https://arcticportal.org/arctic-governance/international-agreements>
- Koivurova, T., & Heinämäki, L. (2020). The Participation of Indigenous Peoples in International Norm-Making in the Arctic. *Polar Record*, 56, e23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0032247420000191>
- Konyshov, V., & Sergunin, A. (2016). Russia's Arctic Strategies in the Context of the Ukrainian Crisis. *Polar Journal*, 6(1), 104-117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2154896X.2016.1171005>
- Nature. (2024). Youth mental health in conflict zones: A global crisis. *Nature*, 625, 123-125. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-024-00000-0>
- PMC. (2013). The impact of armed conflict on children with disabilities. PubMed Central. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11133288/>
- Simon, M. (2019). The Arctic and Indigenous Peoples: The Human Dimension. *Arctic Yearbook*, 2019. <https://arcticyearbook.com/arctic-yearbook/2019>
- United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA). (2021). Arms Control in the Arctic. <https://www.un.org/disarmament/topics/arctic/>
- Whyte, K. (2018). Indigenous Climate Change Studies: Indigenizing Futures, Decolonizing the Anthropocene. *English Language Notes*, 56(1), 153-162. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00138282-56.1.153>
- World Bank. (2024). Disability Inclusion in Humanitarian Action. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/disability/brief/disability-inclusion-in-humanitarian-action>

- Alaska Native Science Commission (ANSC). (2022). Traditional Knowledge and Community Resilience. <https://www.nativescience.org/>
- Arctic Council. (2023). Arctic Mayors' Forum. <https://arctic-council.org/news/arctic-mayors-forum/>
- Inuit Circumpolar Council (ICC). (2017). Pikialasorsuaq Commission: Inuit-led Management of the North Water Polynya. <https://www.inuitcircumpolar.com/project/pikialasorsuaq-commission/>